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The term Assyrian Letters may for conveuience be applied to Letters written either in Assyrian or Babylonian. A distinction is usually made between Letters in the proper sense and the Reports of government officials, which are geuerally formal and conventional. No clear distinction, however, is possible. Many tablets, properly called Letters, are, in reality, Reports from officials to the king. Such Letter-reports are much less interesting than the more informal-or rather less official-Letters.

In this introduction I aim to give a résumé of the work done upon Assyrian Letters. In some cases, it has not been considered necessary to attempt a distinction between Letters, Letter-reports and Reports.

In the narrow sense in which it is ordinarily used, the term Assyrian Letters designates a large mass of literature which is, by common cousent, referred to the period of the Sargon dynasty. In a wider sense, however, it should also include the Letters found at Tel el-Amarua in 1887. The latter belong to the XVth century B. C., and are now in the museums at London, Berlin and Gizeh, with the exception of a few in the possession of private parties. The following is the most important literature on the Tel el-Amarna tablets: (1) Der Thontafelfund von El Amarna, Hugo Winckler, Berlin, 1890, containing the cuneiform text of 240 Letters, besides six other tablets which are not Letters. A large part of these tablets is now in Berlin, some are in Gizeh, and a few in the possession of M. Golenischeff of St. Petersburg. (2) The Tell el-Amarna Tablets in the British Museum with Autotype Facsimiles, C. Bezold and E. A. W. Budge, London, 1892, containing the text of 82 tablets printed with the type of the Harrisons, with an introduction and summary of contents. (3) Oriental Diplomacy, Charles Bezold, London, 1893, contains a transliteration, and a résumé of the contents of the tablets in the British Museum, a valuable Introduction, setting forth many of the characteristic features of the language of these letters, and a Glossary. (4) The Tell Amarna Tablets, C. R. Conder, London, 1893, is of little scientific value. The articles in Hebraica, $Z A, P S B A, J B L$, etc., etc., need not be cited here.

The great mass of Assyrian Letters, however, belongs to the later period. The texts of a few are published in The Cuneiform Inscriptions of Western Asia, III. (1870), IV. (1875) and V. (1884). ${ }^{1}$ Some Letters are transliterated and translated by George Smith in his History of Assurbanipal (1871). ${ }^{2}$ His Assyrian Discoveries (1875) contains the translation of one letter. ${ }^{3}$

The next important work on the Letters is by Theo. G. Pinches (1) in TSBA VI. (1877), pp. 209-243, where he gives the text, transliteration, and translation of four Letters, ${ }^{4}$ and (2) in PSBA (Nov. 1881) pp. 12-15, where he transliterates and translates two Letters. ${ }^{5}$ The first article also contains some interesting and valuable general information on the Letter literature.

In TSBA VI. (June, 1877) pp. 289-304, a Letter ${ }^{6}$ is published by H. F. Talbot in text, transliteration and translation with notes. The translation is reprinted in RP XI. (1878) pp. 99-104.

Pater Strassmaier has done a great service to Letter, as well as to other Assyrian, literature, in his Alphabetisches Verzeichniss der Assyrischen und Akkadischen Wörter, etc. (1886). This work contains much material from the Letters, chiefly from those Letters which were, at that time, unpublished. Some Letters are published in full, e. g. K. 280 on p. 813 sq. Many others are published in part.

Much more extensive work in this field than had yet been done was undertaken by S. A. Smith. In his Keilschrifttexte Asurbanipals II. (1887) and III. (1889) many Letters are found. 7 They are given in the text, with transliteration, translation and notes, aud some supplementary notes by Pinches and Bezold.

This author has published other Letters, following the same plan of giving text, transliteration, translation and notes, in PSBA IX. (June 7, 1887) pp. $240-56$; X. (Nov. 1, 1887) pp. 60-72 ; Jan. 10, 1888, pp. 155-77, and April, 1888, pp. 305-15.8 These articles were reprinted and published under the title Assyrian Letters, Parts I.-IV. (1888).

[^0]The work of Smith may be judged from different points of view. In many of the Letters, he has failed to grasp the central idea, and many of his explanations of particular words are unsatisfactory. A more thoroughly scientific grasp of the language would have led to better results. However, considering its value for the study of the Letter-literature, much may be said in its praise. The fact that the texts are very well transcribed is in itself a service of the first importance. Smith has made a great deal of material accessible to other investigators, and he has undoubtedly stimulated others, who, on the basis of his results, have been able to reach results differing, it is true, from his but more satisfactory.

In $Z . A$ II. (1887) pp. 58-68, two Letters ${ }^{9}$ are published by C. F. Lehmann, under the title $Z$ wei Erlasse Asurbanabals. These have text, transliteration, translation and notes.

Theo. G. Pinches, in his Texts in the Babylonian Wedge-Writing, Part I., has published the cuneiform text of several Letters. ${ }^{10}$

In the publication by C. Bezold of the Catalogue of the Cuneiform Tablets of the Kouyunjik Collection of the British Museum, I. (1889), II. (1891), III. (1894), a forward step is to be noted. These volumes greatly facilitate systematic study of the texts, which is indispensable in this branch of Assyriology. A preliminary service of a similar character had been rendered by his Kurzgefasster Ueberblick über die Babylonisch-Assyrische Literatur.

In the published portion of his Assyrisches Wörterbuch (1887-), Friedrich Delitzsch has given extracts from many Letters. His chief work upon them, however, is found in Beiträge zur Assyriologie, I., 1 (1889), pp. 185-248, I., 2 (1890), pp. 613-631 and II., 1, pp. 19-62.11 These are published without the text, but with transliteration, translation and full notes. A large number of these texts had been previously treated by S. A. Smith, but the results here obtained mark a great advance beyond those of Smith. The work of Delitzsch is characterized by an acquaintance with the literature and by strict adherence to grammatical and lexicographical principles.

The scientific and systematic study of the Assyrian Letters was for the first time made possible to students in general through the publication of The Assyrian and Babylonian Letters belonging to the K. Collection of the British Museum, Part I. (1892), Part II. (1893), by Robert Francis Harper. The volumes so far published, which are part of a series, give the texts carefully transcribed, printed in type and arranged according to the names of the scribes. Volume I. contains 124

[^1]and volume II. 99 tablets, a total of 223 Letters. ${ }^{12}$ Volume III. of this series will appear about October 1st, 1896.

Two Letters ${ }^{13}$ have been treated by C. Johnston in The Journal of the American Oriental Society, XV., 3 (Apr. 22, 1892) pp. 311-16. They are transliterated and translated, and accompanied by a few notes. There is also a note on one of them, K. 84, by the same author in the Johns Hopkins University Circulars (June 1893) p. 108. Another Letter, S. 1064, is translated in JHUC (July 1894) p. 118 sq. Some general statements about the epistolary literature are given by him in JHUC (July 1894) p. 119 sq.

Several Letters are published in the cuneiform text by Hugo Winckler in Sammlung von Keilschrifttexten, II., 1 (1893) and II., 2 (1894). ${ }^{14}$ These contain many mistakes in copying. The editor's excuse in the preface of the last part to the effect that he had compared his copies with the original text but once, is an aggravation of the offense, for it is well nigh unpardonable for a man to publish such texts without taking every precaution to insure their correctness.

A few other miscellaneous references may be grouped together here. J. Menant, in his Manuel de la Langue Assyrienne (1880), has published K. 562. Part of K. 154 is published with transliteration, translation and notes, by H. F. Talbot in TSBA I., 16 sqq., 352 sq. Extracts from K. 177 are published by Lenormant in Essai sur un document mathematique, p. 74. A translation of K. 562 is given by Delitzsch in Wo lag das Paradies? 302 sq. Part of K. 605 is published with transliteration and translation by Pinches in PSBA, V., 28. A transliteration

[^2]and translation of K. 1619b is given by Sayce in Babylonian Literature, p. 78, and by Amiaud in Babylonian and Oriental Record, II., 197 sqq . The text of K. 1620b with transliteration and translation has been published by Budge, History of Esarhaddon, 14 sq .

The cuneiform text of the Letters here considered was published by Robert Francis Harper in Z A, VIII. (1893) pp. 341-59.

The best information obtainable places the number of Letter tablets in the British Museum at over one thousand, of which less than half have been published. Their difficulty has often been emphasized. Undoubtedly the short notes containing accounts of the transportation of horses and other animals present the least difficulty. Military reports are deciphered with considerable ease, while the Letters upon astrological subjects are most difficult. In fact, they are often quite unintelligible. A few are dated, but only with the month and day, so that they give no help in determining the date of composition. How the date, in such cases, may be determined, however, Bezold has shown in Die Thontafelsammlungen des British Museum (1888), p. 14 sqq. The method suggested by him will be increasingly successful as the decipherment progresses.

There is no section of Assyrian literature which requires more patient, systematic and well directed labor for the solution of its problems. But it is not too much to expect that ultimately these Letter tablets, on account of their number, the variety of their contents, and the light which they throw upon the everyday side of life, will contribute much material of great value for the real history of Assyria and Babylonia.

Most of those who have written upon the Letters have attempted to give a complete translation in each case. It must be confessed, however, that these attempts have not been particularly successful. The difficulty of many of the Letters is such that to attempt a connected translation in our present state of knowledge is almost a waste of time. I have, therefore, in the following pages deemed it best, not to give translations, but rather a complete glossary, following in this the example of Bezold in his Oriental Diplomacy.

To my teacher, Professor Robert Francis Harper, I am greatly indebted for valuable suggestions and help in my study of these texts. For the conclusions reached, however, I alone am responsible.

## 'TRANSLITERATION. $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{MI}} \mathbf{2}, 1$. OBVERSE.

1 A-na šarri bêli-ia 2 ardu-ka m ilu Šamaš-bêl-uṣur 3 lu šul-mu a-ua šarri bêli-ia 4 ina muhूhi ka-li-ia ša šarru be-ili 5 ištu malu Arba-ilu-a-a iš-pur-an-ni 6 ma a-ta-a ka-li-ia-u la-šu $7 \mathrm{ki}-\mathrm{i}$ ištu m Išdi-harrâni amêlu mutîr
pu-te 8 i-li-kan-a-ni a-na alu Ur-zu-hi-na 9 i-[na] pa-na-tu-šu-nu II imêru ku-din 10 ina šapli m Išid-ḩarrâni ar-ta-kas 11 ina alu Arrapḩa i-ṣa-bat II imêru kudin 12 ina šapli m Arba-ilu-a-a ir-ta-kas 13 a-na mâtu Ma-şa-mu i-ta-lak 14 šarru be-ili ar........ma-la 15 u-ru-u ša [imêru ina] alu Dûr-ta-li-ti 16 u-ru-u ša imêru ku-din ina alu Ta-ga-la-gi 17 u-ša-zi-zu-u-ni 18 ištu alu Ur-zuhína i-tu-ṣi 19 II imêru ku-din ina šapli-šu ka-li-ia-u 20 a-na ka-li-e a-di alu A-ra-ak-di 21 ki-i u-ma-a i-li-kan-a-ni 22 bid šarru be-ili iš-pur-šu-u-ni 23 a-na-ku ina alu Ur-zu-hi-na 24 II imēru ku-din ina šap[li] 25 ar-ta-kas.

## REVERSE.

1 a-di alu Dûr-ta-[li-ti] 2 ................................. . 3
4 šarru be-ili u-da 5 ki-i alu Ar-zu-hi-na 6 ina libbi iṣu pi-lu-ur-te 7 ka-ri-ru-u-ni mar-di-tu 8 ištu alu Ur-zu-hii-na a-di 9 alu A-ra-ak-di a-na u-mame 10 ta-da-in šarru be-ili- 11 a tee-mu ši-kun 12 ka-li-ia-u 13 ina alu Dûr-f atânâ ${ }^{p l}$-te 14 lu-ša-zi-zu a-hुi-ia-ši 15 nu-ti-in ina muhhi amêlu zammêrêpl. 16 ša šarru be-ili iš-pur-an-ni 17 ma-a ina muhhi amêlu mârâpl.-ni 18 ša amêlu rab-SE-ŠA ina mâtu Ba-bi-ti 19 i-tu-uk-tu a-sa-al 20 u-ta-ṣi-ṣi me-me-[ni] la-šu 21 u la ni-iš-me šarru be-ili 22 i-šap-ra ma-a šum-ma amêlu zammêrêpl. 23 ba tu-ṣa-bit ma-a lu tu-da 24 ki-i ap-ta tu-šal-lum-ni 25 amêlu pa-ri-ṣu-u-te 26 ša alu Arrapha 27 ša bît amêlu nâgir ekalli 28 up-ta-at-hुu-ru ina libbi šum-ṣa ḳu-bu u-ma ..... 29 u-si-li i-na-ṣur šum-ma u-ṣa-bit-u-ni ..... 30 u-bal-u-ni-šu-nu a-nu-šim amêlu zammêrêpl. ša bît amêlu ........ 31 ša ḳa-anni alu Ur-zu-hुi-na šarru be-ili ina pân šarri bêli-[ía].......
$\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{M}}$ 2, 2.
OBVERSE.
1 Duppu m Gil-ṣa-na 2 a-na amêlu nâgir bîti 3 lu šul-mu a-na-ka 4 ša taš-pur-an-ni 5 ma-a šar mâtu Akkad-a-a 6 a-di amêlu e-muk-ḳi-šu 7 kar-ka-te-e i-lak 8 ma-a a-a-ka u-šab 9 amêlu bêl piḩâti ša alu U-a-si 10 amêlu bêl piĥâti ša ḳa-ni mâtu U-ka-a-a 11 i-tal-ku-u-ni dul-lu 12 ina aširti e-pu-šu 13 i-da-bu-ub ma-a šarru 14 i-lak ina alu U-a-si u-šab 15 ma-a amêlu bêl piḩâtê pl. ub-hुu-ru 16 i-la-ku-u-ni

## REVERSE.

1 ina alu Mu-ṣa-şir 2 dul-lu e-pu-šu 3 ša taš-pur-an-ni 4 ma-a ša la pi-i 5. ša šarri me-me-ni 6 it-[ti]-šu ina dul-li 7 lu la u-ba-la 8 ki-i šar mâtu Aššur (ki) 9 i-lik-an-ni ak-tal-šu-u $\mathbf{1 0}$ ša e-pu-šu-ni e-tap-ša 11 u an-ni-u a-ki-e 12 kil-la-šu.
$\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{MI}} 2,3$.
OBVERSE.
1 A-na šarri b[e-ili-ia] 2 ardu-ka m Ašur-ri-ṣu-a 3 lu šul-mu a-na šarri be-ili-ia 43000 amêlu șâbê pl. šêpâ $p l .5$ amêlu ša-nu-te amêlu rab-šabrê $p l .6$
ša m Si-e-ti-ni amêlu bêl pihâti 7 ša pu-tu ellu a-na alu Mu-ṣa-ṣir 8 u-ta-me-šu nâru ME 9 e-tab-ru imêru a-ṣap-pu-šu $10 \ldots . .$. . še ma-la ša m Si-e-ti-ni 11 ina pa-ni-šu šu-u 12 ša m Su-na-a 13 amêlu bêl pihâti.

REVERSE.
1 ša pu-ut mâtu U-ka-a-a 2 amêlu ṣ̂̂bê $p l$.-šu 3 u-ta-mi-šu-ma 4 a-na alu Mu-ṣa-ṣir 5 a-si-me ma-a šarru 6 ina libbi alu U-e-si 7 il-lak u-di-na 8 la u-nam-maš.

## $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{2}, 4$. <br> obverse.

1 A-na šarri bêli-ia 2 ardu-ka m Šam-hูu ilu Ša-maš 3 lu-u šul-mu 4 a-na šarri bêli-ia 5 ina muhhi amêlu šakan-maṣṣarti 6 ša ištu amêlu da-gil-iṣsurê $p l$. 7 ša šarru be-ili 8 iš-pur-an-ni 9 ma-a ina pâni-ka 10 lu-uk-ta-ti-ni 11 ma-a mi-i-nu 12 ša ištu pân amêlu da-gil-iṣṣurêpl. 13 i-šu-u-ni 14 lu-u-sa-hुi-ri 15 [l]id-din

## REVERSE.

1 a-sa-'a-la 2 u-ta-şi 3 me-me-ni ...... 4 ištu pa-ni-šu-nu 5 la i....ši-i 6 ina muhhi......pu-na 7 u-ma-a a-ki ša šarru 8 bêli ik-bu-u-ni 9 amêlu šakan-maṣṣarti ur-ki-ia-u 10 ina pâni-šu-nu 11 ak-ta-la 12 am-me-ia-u 13 a-na maṣsarti-šu 14 i-ta-ta-ka

## R ${ }^{\text {M }} 2$. <br> OBVERSE.

1 A-na šarri bêli-a 2 ardu-ka m A-bit-šar-uṣur 3 lu šul-mu a-na šarri bêli-a 4 ina muhhi m ilu Nabû-er-eš 5 amêlu Kal-da-a-a 6 ša šarru be-ili iš-pur-an-ni 7 ma-a šap-li ḳa-ti 8 ma-ṣar-tu-šu uṣ-ṣu-ru 9 ištu mar šarru beili 10 iš-pur-an-ni 11 a-sa-par ma-ṣar-tu-šu 12 šap-la ḳa-ti 13 it-ta-aṣ-ru.

REVERSE.
1 u-ma-a 2 an-nu-ri 3 u-tam-me-ša 4 il-la-ka 5 ma-a a-na šul-me 6 ina ekalli al-lak

## $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{MI}} 2,6$.

OBVERSE.
1 A-na šarri bêli-ia 2 ardu-ka m Ištar-šum-er-eš 3 lu šul-mu a-na šarri bêli-ia 4 ilu Nabû u ilu Marduk 5 a-na šarri bêli-ia lik-ru-bu 6 ša šarru be-li iš-pur-an-ni 7 ma-a u-la ina bi-rit pu-ri-di 8 a-me-li e-ti-ik 9 ina muh̆hi ša šap-la iṣu narkabti-e 10 tu-ṣu-u-ni ina muhु-hii-šu 11 šarru be-li i-kab-[bi] ........ 12 ma-a pu-ri-di ................. 13 pu-ri-di ki-ma .... .... 14 ša amêlu u .............. 15 šu-u bi-r[it] ................... 16 is-[su]-ri ma(?)........ 17 u................. 18

## REVERSE.

1 a-na it-ti............ 2 nu-ka-al šu-u an .......... 3 ištu KAT a-na kan ........ 4 šap-la iṣu narkabti it........ 5 ša pu-ri-di...... 6 ša šarru be-li [ik-bu-u-ni] ........ 7 an-ni-u pi........ 8 m ilu Beltu-kab-din ina bi ........ 9 kitridu amêlu e........ 10 lu-u kiššat ili lu-u kiššat šarri matsu 11 a-hुu-lam-ma amêlu Aš-da(?)-a-a-ti 12 ni-iḳ-bi a-ta-a 13 la šarrâni pl. nak-ru-ti-šu-nu 14 šap-la iṣu mu-gir-ri $\quad 15$ ša šarri bêli-ia 16 la i-ka-an-nu-šu

## $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{M}}-7$.

1 A-na šarri [bêli-ia] 2 ardu-ka m Šar ........ 3 lu šul-mu a-na šarri be-[ili-ia] 4 m Bab-ba-ni amêlu [mutîr pu-te] 5 70 șâbê pl. tabê pl. 6 mâtu Akkadi (ki) a........ 7 na-ṣa ina alu ........ 8 uš-še-si ........... 9 u ṣâbê pl........ 10 id-da-ab mâtu 11 ma-a u........ 12 ištu pa-ni .... 13 ihb-ri

## $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{M}}$-, S. <br> obverse.

1 A-na šarri be-ili-ia 2 ardu-ka m ilu Nabû-šum-iddina 3 lu šul-mu a-na šarri be-ili-ia 4 a-dan-niš a-dan-niš 5 ilu Nabû ilu Marduk 6 a-na šarri be-ili-ia lik-ru-bu 7 ilu Bêl ilu Nabû ilu Nergal 8 nu-[um-mur] ša šarri 9 be-ili-[ia] li-iṣ-ṣu-ru 10 [šar-ru]-u-tu ša šarri 11 [be-ili-ia ana 100] šanâti pl. 12 [a-na šarri be-ili-ia] lu-ki-in-nu $13 \ldots \ldots$. . ki-e-ni at-ta $14 \ldots . .$. nu šar-ru-u-tu 15 a-na li-pi-i-ka 16 a-na zi-ri-ka 17 a-na ṣa-at ûme 18 [lid-di]-nu 19 ........ bit-hal-li

## RETERSE.

1 ....... şap-pa $2 \ldots .$. ... bit-ḩal-li 3 [ištu alu D]ûr-Šar-ukîn $4 \ldots$. ûmu an-ni-u 5 e-tar-bu-u-ni 6 mu-šu an-ni[-u e]-pu-šu 7 ša ti-[ma]-a-li 8 sisê pl. as-si-kal 9 a-na mi-i-ni ka-a-a-ma-ni-u 10 sisê pl. ša šarri u-ša-ad-la-ab 11 šum-ma šarru be-ili i-ḳab-bi 12 sisê pl. la-as-kal $13 \mathrm{mi}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{nu}$ ša šarru be-ili 14 i-šap-par-an-ni 15 ûmi 23
$\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{M}} \simeq, 11$.
OBVERSE.
[Several lines broken away.]
1 ša šarru be-ili.......... 2 ma-a šu-pur li... ...... 3 lu-u-bi-lu-ni-šu a-sa-[al] 4 ina mâtu Bar-ḩal-ṣa up-ta-ṣi.... 5 la-a-šu la-a e-mu-ru-šu 6 a-na alu Mu-ti-an-ni 7 ina libbi ali-šu it-tal-ka 8 la-a-aš-šu 9 aḩu-šu šu-u-tu 10 e-du-ma-nu ina lib-bi

## REVERSE.

1 kam-mu-su i-ṣab-tu 2 na-ṣu-ni-šu a-sa-al-šu 3 nu-uk abu-ka a-li-e 4 ma-a la il-li-kam-ma ina muhb-hi-a 5 an-nu-šim........ šarri bêli-a 6 u-sibi ........ 7 u amêlu ma........ 8 ina muhhi m Di.........
[Several lines broken away.]

RM2,45S.
obverse.
1 A-na šarri bêli-ia 2 ardu-ka m Tâbu-ṣil-Ešarra 3 šul-mu a-na Ešarra (ki) 4 šul-mu a-na ekurrâte 5 šul-mu a-na alu Aššur 6 šul-mu a-na mâtu Aššur (ki) 7 lu šul-mu a-na šarri bêli-ia 8 Ašur ilu Bêltu a-na šarri bêli-ia 9 lik-ru-bu ina muhhi iṣu gušûrêpl. 10 ša šarru iš-pur-an-ni
[Several lines broken away.]

REVERSE.
[Several lines broken away.]
1 ........ $2 \ldots .$. a-dan-niš $3 \ldots .$. u-ma-ni-e 4 ma-a-duu iṣu gušûrêpl. 5 lib-bu ša šarri ....... bêli-ia 6 lu-u tâb

## RM $2,459$.

1 [A-na] šarri bêli-i[a] 2 [ardu]-ka m Tâbu-ṣil-E[šarra] 3 [l]u šul-mu a-na šarri bêli-[ia] 4 Ašur ilu Bêltu a-na šarri b[êli-ia] ${ }_{5}$ b lik-ru-bu ina muḩhi iṣu [gušûrêpl.] 6 ša šarru be-ili iš-pur-a[n-ni] 7 ma-a šu-pur liš-da-du ........ 8 a-du la-a šarru be-ili

9 $\qquad$
$\qquad$
[Several lines broken away.]

## $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathbf{2}$,462.

1 [A-na šarri bêli-a] 2 ardu-ka mašur-dûr-pa-ni-[a] 3 lu-u šul-mu a-na šarri bêli-a 4 ištu muhुhi m Abû-ul-i-di 5 amêlu mutîr pu-te mâtu Kal-da-a-a 6 ša šarru bêli iš-pur-an-ni 7 ma-[a] $\qquad$
[Several lines broken away.]

## $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathbf{2}, 463$.

1 A-na šarri be-ili-ia 2 ardu-ka m Šamaš-emur-an-ni 3 lu-u šul-mu a-na šarri bêli-ia 4 šul-mu a-na alu Ȟal-ṣu 5 ša šarru be-ili iš-pur-an-ni 6 ma-a 50 amêlu Kur-ra-a-a 750 amêlu I-tu-'-a-a 8 ina alu Sa-ba-hुa-ni 9 šup-ru a-šap-ra 10 amêlu ṣâbê pl. ša amêlu rab-bi-lul 11 ša ina libbi ........ 12 la i......... 13 la-u ......... 14 [m]i-nu .......... 15 be-ili .......... 16 liš $\mathrm{pa}-\mathrm{r}[\mathrm{u}]$

## $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{M}}$ 2, 464.

OBVERSE.
[Several lines broken away.]


#### Abstract

2 ina ûmi 18 [kan] ša........ 3 alu Kâr-m Šarukîn.... 4 a-na maṣṣarâti pl. gab........... 5 šul-mu amêlu hazân[âte] 6 ša bat-ti-bat-ti-e-a šul-mu........ 7 i-sa-al-lu ina muḩhi țe-e-[mu] 8 ša m Lu-tu-u šu-u-tu 9 m Aš-pa-ba-ra ina alu Ha-ri-pa 10 ka-ra-bu i-sa-ha ia-ši 11 u-pu-šu amêlu şâbê pl. ša a-bुa-ia-ši 12 i-du-u-ku ina muhbi m Up-pi-te 13 amêlu hazânu ša alu Ur-ia-ku 14 ša pa-tu-u-ni 15 ša a-na šarri bêli-a 16 a-ḳa-bu-u-ni


## REVERSE.

1 ki-i a-na-ku ina pa-ni-t[u-šu] 2 al-lik-an-ni šu-u-tu 3 a-na mâtu Ša-maš-da ih-tal-ḳa 4 m ilu Nabu-lal-an-ni amêlu NA 5 ša šarru i-si-mi šu-u-tu 6 m U-ak-sa-tar i-sa-ap-ru 7 iṣ-ṣab-tu-ni-šu 4 amêlu mârâni pl.-šu 8 is-si-e-šu ina libbi ûmu 9 ša a-na-ku a-na alu Kâr-m Šar-ukîn 10 e-ru-bu-u-ni ina mubhi m Ra-ma....i 11 a-sa-ap-ra šum-ku-un ....... 12 še-bi-la ki-ma iṣşa....... 13 na-ṣu-u-ni a-na........
[Several lines broken away.]

## NOTES.

## RII 2,

Obverse 1. 4.-The sign muh may be read either eli or muhhi. It is usually read eli except where a phonetic complement makes this impossible. I think in the letters that it should everywhere be read $m u h h i$. The reason is, that it is frequently found written $\mathrm{muh}-\mathrm{h} \mathrm{i}$, both by itself and before pronominal suffixes. ${ }^{1}$ It may be a question whether we have here syllabic writing, or an ideogram and phonetic complement. So far as I know, it is never written with any other phonetic complement which would indicate the reading eli; nor is eli found written syllabically in the letters. ka-li-ia occurs in this letter in two other forms, ka-li-e, l. 20, and the fullest form, ka-li-ia-u, ls. 6, 19, rev. 12. $u$ at the end can not be a separate word, cf.l.19. A word $\mathrm{kal} \hat{\mathrm{u}}=$ priest, is given by Zimmern, BB. (p. 28, note 2), which is not suitable here. Delitzsch, Heb. Lang. p. 25, gives the word kalû, vessel, synonym of unutu, Hebrew ? $ּ$, without

[^3]examples. In his Handwörterbuch he omitskalû, giving only kalutuin this sense. I accept Delitzsch's derivation here, giving a broad meaning, as in Hebrew. The general meaning, preparation for war, or for march, is very appropriate here. For a similar meaning in Hebrew, cf. Jer. 46:19. One may take ia-u as equivalent to iu, ${ }^{2}$ which might have been still further contracted to kalu . The other forms of the word then give no difficulty; ka-li-ia is the accusative, $\mathrm{ka}-\mathrm{li}-\mathrm{e}$ the genitive.
1.5. It is interesting to note the separation between the wedges of the first character. It is undoubtedly to be read $\mathrm{i} s \mathrm{stu}$.

1. 6. The character $a$ is intended to do double duty. The scribe meant to say ma-a a-ta-a. Such things are common in the letters. ${ }^{3}$ la-šu. The form is lâšu. This is the usual form in the letters, contracted from lâ išî, or lâ išu of the historical inscriptions. lâ iŝî uncontracted occurs rarely in the letters. ${ }^{4}$ On the other hand, lâŝu sometimes occurs in the historical inscriptions. 5 The writing in the letters varies much. ${ }^{6}$
1. 7. mutîr pu-te-The meaning and various writings of this word have been discussed by Delitzsch. $7^{\circ}$
1. 8. i-li-kan-a-ni. A peculiar writing=ilikani; cf. also l. 21 below. Like tab-rat-a-ti, cf. DG., $\& 23$ note. Urzuhina.-Delitzsch ${ }^{8}$ correctly identifies this form with the more common Arzuhina. It is interesting to note it in this letter as the usual form, occurring here and in ls. 18,23 , and rev. 8,31 , while Arzuhina occurs once, in rev. 5.
1.9. It seems evident that na is simply omitted by the scribe. There is no break in the text. Such omissions are not uncommon in the letters.
1.9. imêruku-din. For the reading and meaning of this word, cf. Zehnpfund, $B A S ., \mathrm{I}, 2, \mathrm{p} .505$, note, where references to other passages are given.
1. 11. i-s.a-bat must be taken as a Pres. This fact shows that the parallel i-ta-lak, l. 13, is probably to be considered a Pres., although the Pret. has the same form.
1. 14. The most probable conjecture for the break would be u-da, which is often found in places like this, cf. rev. 4. But that does not suit the portion which is legible.
1. 15. The break does not seem sufficiently large for any more thau I have supplied. Dûr-Ta-li-ti=wall of nativity, an interesting name for a city.
[^4]1．19．ka－li－ia－u a－naka－li－e means evidently，provision in abundance．${ }^{9}$
1．22．bid．Occurs frequently in the letters and is practically equivalent to $\mathrm{k} \hat{1}$ ，as，when，cf．BAS．，I．，1．p．205－6．

Reverse 1．7．－karirûni，Perm．from 77．This root occurs several times， chiefly in the letters．${ }^{10}$ S．A．Smith，PSBA．，1886－7，p．246，gives the meaning repair．Delitzsch BAS．，I．，2，p．616，gives einreissen，niederreissen．Neither suits all the passages．Here the word seems to have a passive meaning，is situated．Its subject apparently is Arzubina．
l．7．mar－di－tu．A good 9 －formation with fem．ending from กาフ， to tread，march，exactly like maršîtu，possession，etc．It would then seem that it should mean either march，or way，road．I prefer the latter．

1．9．u－ma－me，wild beasts，furnishes a key to the thought here．
1．10．ta－da－in．If this were tudain，it would suggest a II．1．form from a root $\dagger^{\dagger 7}$ ，or $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{9} 7$ ，cf．the common form $u k a^{\prime} \mathrm{in}$ ，but this form would naturally be I．1．The root is perhaps iJ，nadânu，to give．The form da－in is then for din，a form comparatively common in the letters．It can hardly be called an irregularity；it is more correct to say that the letters do not demand that a vowel be always followed by the same vowel．For a noun， tadanu＝gift，cf．BAS．，I．，1，p．232．Note the very unusual division of a word at the end of a line．

1．11．ši－kun．I am disposed to regard this as a Perm．from šakânu． It is a bad form，but it is not without analogy．${ }^{11}$

1．17．We see here an extension of the use of determinatives beyond that found in the historical inscriptions，but precisely similar to that found in the Tel el－Amarna tablets．Other examples may be given．${ }^{12}$

1．18．cf．Ašurnașirpal，2：33， 34.
1．20．u－ta－ṣi－ṣi from N゙ざ II．， 2 might possibly be taken as a quadrilit－ eral formed by reduplication of the last radical，cf．$D G ., \xi 117,2)$ a）．It is better， however，to regard it as a simple repetition of the last sign by the scribe．

[^5]1. 20. me-me.-ni is evidently omitted by the scribe.
1. 20. The reading given for this line is confirmed by passages closely parallel. ${ }^{13}$
1.23. ba is probably a mistake of the scribe, and is to be considered as erased.

1. 27. nâgir, undoubtedly so to be read, although it is actually the sigu for nîru, yoke.
1. 28. $u p-t a-a t-h h^{\prime}-r u$, II. 2 Pret. of. pab̂aru, with doubled t, cf. $D G$., 883, note. huru is for the usual hiru. ${ }^{15}$
1. 28. k u-bu, cf. K. 485, rev. 13, LK. 112. Probably this is kubbû (N. $\mathbf{N P}^{2} \mathrm{cry}$.
1. 30. a-nu-sim. The word is a very common one in the letters. Usually written an-nu-sim, yet several times a-nu-sim.
1.31. ka-an-ni. Taken up both by S. A. Smith, and Delitzsch in BAS., II., 1. p. 60. Smith derives it from קן p, nest. Delitzsch takes it from the root $\boldsymbol{T}$, making it pl. of $k u$, but gives no translation. Neither can be considered entirely correct. The writing in this passage renders it improbable that it is from $\boldsymbol{T}$. certain. It has a meaning and usage very similar to put , i. e. entrance, side. The way in which it is used with names of countries, and, in this passage, of a city, shows this, cf. $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} .2,2: 10$, with $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} .2,3:$ rev. 1 .
[^6]
## $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathbf{2}, 2$.

Obverse.-l. 1. duppu. Very few letters begin in any but the stereotyped way, which is so familiar that it need not be mentioned. This is one of the most informal, not to say brusque. The beginning with $d u p p u$ is found in but few letters. ${ }^{1}$ It would seem that there was some special reason for its employment. That of Bezold ${ }^{2}$ seems very probable, that it was the way in which the scribes, who arranged the letters in the royal libraries, commenced those of which the beginning had been lost, or badly mutilated. A fact tending to confirm this view is that duppu here is followed by the expression a-na-ka, instead of the almost universal third person, and in K. 1396 duppu is followed in the same way by a-na ka-a-si.

1. 2. amêlu nâgir bîti. Cf. amêlunâgir ekalli, K. 485: 1, LK. 112.
1.3. a-na-ka. The independent form of the pronoun is generally used, with the ordinary prepositions, including ana. ${ }^{3}$ With some longer prepositions the suffix is used, thus with muhbi, panatu, mahri, libbi, battubatti, ${ }^{4}$ etc. The use here of a-na-ka is exceptional.
1. 5. It is a peculiarity very characteristic of the letters that the ending $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}$, which is properly the Gentilic ending, has become commonly used with ordinary names of cities and countries. This usage is so very common that examples need not be given.
l. 6. $\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{muk}-\mathrm{k} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{su}$, his soldiers. The writing e-muk-ki, instead of $e-m u-k i$, is quite common.
l. 7. kar-ka-te-e. Cf. $A V$. 4208. I think it is certainly to be read as one word and is an adjective modifying emukê. Its meaning would then be something like strong, mighty. U-a-si occurs in the same form in l. 14 and in the form U-e-si , an interesting variation, in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} 2,3:$ rev. 6. Both forms occur elsewhere. ${ }^{5}$
l. 10. $\mathrm{ka}-\mathrm{ni}$. This passage is quoted by Delitzsch in the passage above cited.
1. 15. $u$ h-h u-ru. From root $7 \boldsymbol{T N}_{1}$, used often as a technical astronomical term; cf. Del. HWB. p. 44, Jensen, Kosmologie. It has a non-technical use, however, as is evident from this passage. This letter is not dealing with astronomy, or astrology. Its natural meaning would be, to be behind, to delay. This is preterite, instead of the usual $u b h i r$, and ilak $\hat{u} n i$ follows it in the present. It is then to be translated, " the prefects have delayed in going." It may, however, have a semi-technical meaning, "took observations."
[^7]Reverse.-l. 4. ša la pi-i. Cf. K. $1187: 5, L K .217$. Literally, what is not the mouth of the king my lord,=" what the king my lord has not commanded."
l.6. ti is probably to be supplied, as omitted by the carelessness of the scribe.
1.7. lu la. An emphatic negative. Not common, but occurring several times in the letters. me-me-ni...........lâ form a usual combination, $=$ " not at all." Cf. BAS., I., 1., p. 217.
1.9. ak-tal-šu-u from kalû. Cf. $D G ., \neq 39$.

1. 11. $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ki}-\mathrm{e}$. Probably equivalent to $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ki}-\mathrm{i}$ preposition or conjunction, meaning as. Cf. HWB., p. 52.

$$
\mathbf{R}^{M} 2,3
$$

Obverse.-l. 4. The use of determinatives here is a question which admits of discussion. Bezold claims ${ }^{1}$ in a case similar, but without the a mêlu, that $s ̧ a ̂ b e ̂ i s ~ a ~ d e t e r m i n a t i v e . ~ T h e ~ u s e ~ o f ~ a m e ̂ l u ~ h e r e ~ r e n d e r s ~ t h a t ~ s u p p o s i t i o n ~$ improbable. In $R^{\mathrm{m}} 2,7: 5$ there is more probability that the ṣâbe is a determinative.

1. 8. u-ta-me-šu. II., 2, from $\because \mathscr{V}$, the root from which attumus is the most common form. This root occurs quite frequently in the letters. ${ }^{2}$ The meaning set out, depart, usually given to attumus, suits all the cases. A recognition of this root, outside of the form attumus permits the correction of Delitzsch's transliteration and translation in two letters. Thus in K. $526: 14$, I read $u-t a-m i s ̌-u-n i$ instead of his $u-t a-r i d-u-n i^{3}$, giving a much better meaning, and showing the same form which occurs in our present letter. Again
 $u-n a-m u-s \check{u}$, making that part of the letter perfectly clear, with the following translation for ls. 9 and 10, the twenty-eighth day they remained there, on the twentyninth day they (or l) departed. The form in this last case is $u n a m m u s$, where usually we have unammas, but this variation is sufficiently common to cause trouble. S. A. Smith and Strassmaier (cf. references quoted by Delitzsch) had no doubt given the correct transliteration, but had failed to give the right connection of words and interpretation.
1. 9. a-ṣ a-ap-pu-šu. Some animal, evidently an animal for riding, probably some kind of horse. I read with $p$ rather than $b$ ou account of $R^{m} 2,8: ~ r e v .1$, where .......ssap-pa is preceded and followed by bit-hal-li, and is probably the same word as this. A-zap-pu would be equally good.
[^8]Reverse.-l. 7. u-di-na. I accept here the meaning given by Johns, ${ }^{1}$ as yet, with negative, not yet. I would connect it closely with Heb. 7iy, at which derivation he only hints. The ending is the adverbial ending.

$$
\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{M}} 2,4
$$

Obverse.--l. 6. da-gil-iṣsurêpl. For the form as a compound word, cf. Del. BAS., I., 1, p. 219. K. $572: 9, L K .23$, shows that this was a regular court officer, and that the whole word is plural. That it means a diviner is self-evident. Our word augur, the Latin augur, and the Greek oicvó $\mu \nu \tau \iota s$ testify to the same custom among the Romans and Greeks.

1. 10. lu-uk-ta-ti-ni, is probably from the root kênu (9)】) to stand. Such a meaning is required by the context. The stem is أفتنعl, for which cf. the similar forms given by Bezold ${ }^{2}$; cf. also $D G . z 83$, note.

Reverse. - 1 . 3. It is very evident that the signs which I have left untransliterated in ls. 3 and 5 and the whole of 1.6 , have been erased. The meaning is complete without them.

1. 5. la i-ši-i. As already noted, this form written separately, is comparatively rare in the letters.
1. 9. ur-ki-ia-u. Cf. Del., $H W B$., p. 243.
1.12. am-me-ia-u. Probably equals $a \mathrm{~mm} \hat{\mathrm{u}}$ this, the not very common masc. singular of the word frequently occurring in the letters as ammati in the fem. plur. and ammute in the masc. pl. This word is much more common than generally supposed. It occurs several times in the Tel el-Amarna tablets. ${ }^{3}$ It is very common in the Assyrian letters. 4 I am inclined to favor the view of Bezold that it is simply another form of ann $\hat{u}, n$ being changed to $m$.

## $\mathbf{R n i}^{\mathrm{nr}} \mathbf{2}$, 5.

Obverse.-l 8. uṣ-ṣu-ru. A good imperative form. It, however, looks like a plural where a singular is needed. It may possibly be a plural, being a command addressed to the present scribe and those associated with him. It may be an instance of the overhanging vowels which are used in the Letters, in accordance with laws not yet fully determined.

1. 9. mar. Probably an ideogram the reading of which is uncertain. The meaning, however, from this and other passages, ${ }^{5}$ is reasonably certain, viz., time, with ištu, from the time that.
[^9]Reverse．－－l．2．an－nu－ri．An interesting form．From its use，it cer－ tainly has the same meaning as annušim．This is shown by the way in which it stands here，preceded by $u \mathrm{~m} \hat{\mathrm{a}}$ ，and by the context in other passages in which it occurs．${ }^{1}$ Two possibilities present themselves．This may be an independent word，possibly related，having the same meaning as annusim；or it may be that we are to give ri a new value šim．
ls．5－6．The expression used in these two lines seems to be stereotyped；cf． very similar expressions elsewhere．${ }^{2}$

$$
\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} 2,6 .
$$

Reverse．－l．2．Nu－ka－al is found elsewhere．Cf．nu－ka－la，K． $1039: 11,12$ ，which is probably from the root k al $\hat{\mathrm{u}}$（ヴラ）＝to delay．This may be a different root．

1．10．The meaning either．．．．．．．．．or has usually been given to $1 \hat{u} \ldots \ldots$ ．．．．．$\hat{\mathrm{u}}$ ． Cf．DG．\＆ 82 and Del．，Prol．，p．135．It may be questioned，however，whether the meaning both．．．．．．．．and is not more suitable here．Cf．also other places where it is found．${ }^{3}$ A new usage is the expression used in the letters šumma．．．．．．．． šumma，meaning whether． $\qquad$ or．
1．14．mu－gir－ri．This word is not given in Del．HWB．A synonym of narkabtu，as is shown here by the determinative $\mathrm{i} s$ u and by the context． From 77 ，a chariot，as being that which runs．The form is perhaps but more probably dééo with transition of $a$ to $i$ ．

## Rm 2， 7.

1．7．Na－ṣa，occurs in another form in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 2,11$ ，and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} .2,464$ ，where it will be discussed．

$$
\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{2}, 8
$$

Obverse．－l．4．a－dan－nis．Entirely untenable are the derivations of
 the meaning，appointed time，like Heb．7yip．All these make it an adverbial formation from a noun adannu．It is probably from anadannis，as given by Harper ${ }^{7}$ ．

[^10]1．8．The restoration of this and the following lines is taken from similar phrases which occur elsewhere．${ }^{1}$
ls．10－12．This restoration is given chiefly from a combination of two pas－ sages．${ }^{2}$

Reverse．－l．3．For the reading，cf．K． $582: 5, L K .167$ ，where the name is also without determinative．The portion of Dûr preserved has the form of narkabtu．

1．8．For all this passage from Obv． 19 through to the end，cf．K． 1113 and K． $1229, L K .71$ ，by the same scribe，which presents many parallels．The read－ ing of Kurpl．as sise here and in ls． 10 and 12，while not very frequent，is well authenticated．${ }^{3}$

1．8．as－si－kal．For the root，cf．Johns，PSBA．，Nov．5，1895，p． 234.
1．10．u－ša－ad－la－ab．Del．，HWB．，p．217－8 gives two roots，ปクワ， neither of which is suitable here．The context，especially ana mîni，indicates the meaning，reckoned．

1．10．la－as－kal．Undoubtedly the same as as－si－kal in 1．8．This may be a case of unusual syncope．It is，however，more in harmony with the usual custom of the letters to consider the sign si as omitted by the carelessness of the scribe．

$$
\text { RII } 2,11
$$

Reverse．－1．2．na－ṣu－ni－šu．This root has been discussed both by S．A．Smith and by Delitzsch． 4 Smith compares both Hebrew NY乌 and TY゙， and thinks that this root combines both their meanings hinfliehen and streiten． Passages in which it is found in the letters he translates are K．359：5， 10 ； K． $525: 25$ ；K． $582: 8$.

Delitzsch gives as the root $7 \mathfrak{y}$ ．He，however，thinks it combines the mean－ ings herbeieilen and enteilen，entfiehen．In addition to the passages given by Smith，he quotes only K．186：4．Many other examples of its occurrence may be given．${ }^{5}$ A careful comparison of all these makes it probable that the root is
 evidently the transitive，bring out．The hapux legomenon N゙乡g，Jer．48：9，is to be taken from the same root in Hebrew，if the text of the passage is correct．Johns

[^11]has given the meaning bring, PSBA., Nov. $5,1895,225,233,236$ sq. but without comment.

It is to be noticed that $\mathbb{N} 5 \mathrm{y}$ in every case cited is found in the I., 1 perm. with the meaning of the pret. This is one illustration of the fact which has already been noticed, 1 of the more frequent and varied use of the permansive in the letters than in other inscriptions. Other permansives in connection with preterites occur in the letters. ${ }^{2}$

$$
\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{M}} 2,458
$$

Letters of the same scribe are found in LK. 87-99. Cf. also BAS., II., 1, p. 32 sqq. They show marked similarities. Ašur and Bêltu are the gods invoked in all. This letter down to the middle of line 9 is exactly duplicated in K. $656: 1-8, L K .92$, and with but slight variation in K. $5466: 1-4, L K .99$. K. 656 also presents a marked resemblance in subject matter to the present one.
1.4. For the correctness of the reading ekurrate, cf. the interesting writing e-kur-ra-a-te, K. 1062:7, LK. 216.

## RM 2, 459.

The general subject of this letter is evidently similar to the preceding. 1. 7 bears a marked resemblance to $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} 2,11: 2$ and suggests the possibility that they may have the same author.

## $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{M}} 2,462$.

1. 2. For another letter by a scribe with the same name, cf. K. $525 .{ }^{3}$
1.3. This is an unusual use of istu, where we usually have ina. It is, however, simply a loose use of the ideogram for istu for other prepositions, such as we have elsewhere, most frequently in Ašurnașirpal. 4 The name of this man is interesting. A father he did not know, is apparently the meaning.

$$
\mathbf{R M} 2,463 .
$$

1. 9. šu p-ru. Evidently imperative. Apparently singular, since the letter is from one man, and in the next word he makes answer in the sing. The $u$ at the end may be a supernumerary vowel, as in cases previously mentioned.

$$
\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{M}} 2,464
$$

Obverse.-l. 5. That a plural sign is to be read in the break is rendered probable by the context, especially bat-te-bat-te-e-a round about me. This

[^12]word might possibly be read $k$ êpanin , as Delitzsch has done; ${ }^{1}$ but the reading I have given is the standard one. Its occurrence in the letters is certain. ${ }^{2}$
1.6. A supernumerary vowel between a word, especially preposition and suffix, as here, is common in the letters. ${ }^{3}$ Its significance is doubtful. It may mark a change of tone to that syllable.

1. 7. i-sa-al-lu is probably plural, the subject being hazânâte. sulmu išâl $\hat{\mathrm{u}}=$ they ask peace, i. e. send greeting.
1. 10. $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ra}-\mathrm{bu}$. I think there is no doubt that this is to be considered a perm., as previously remarked. i-sa-ha is probably pres. from הMD, to rebel, revolt.
1. 11. $u-p u-s ̌ u$. Probably a I. 1. pret., first person. The $u$ for $e$ is not surprising in the letters. The same form is found elsewhere. 4 A general meaning, $I$ attended to the matter, may be given here.
1. 14. pa-tu-u-ni. Evidently from N: ロッ, perm. Its reference may be either to the man Uppiti or the city Uriaku.
l. 16. $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bu} \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{ni}$. This is evidently a pres., but it seems to have the force of the pret., because in expressions similar to this the pret. is the form regularly used.

Reverse.-1.4. I am inclined to read ilu $\mathrm{Nabu}-\mathrm{emur-an-ni}$, the sign SI being omitted by the scribe, or LAL itself having the ideographic value amâru; cf. the names, Šamaš-emur-an-ni, and Bêl-emur-an-ni.

## GLOSSARY.

u, and, 1: rev. $21 ; 2:$ rev. $11 ; 6: 4 ; 7: 9 ; 11:$ rev. 7 .
abâru ( $7 \mathcal{I N}_{4}$ ), to cross. I. 2. e-tab-ru, 3:9.
adi $\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{4}\right)$, as far as, until. a-di 1: 20, rev. 1, 8; 2: 6. a-du, till, 459: 8.
edu ( $\mathbf{T N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ), one. edumânu, alone(?), e-du-ma-nu, 11: 10 .
u-di-na ( $\mathbf{7 l N}_{4}$ ), as yet, 3 : rev. 7.
abu ( $\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{\Pi} \mathbb{N}_{1}$ ), brother. ahi-šu, 11: 9. ahi-ka, 11: rev. 3.
a ḩiš, together, a-hुi-ia-ši, 1: rev. 14 ; a-ḩa-ia-ši, 464: 11.
$\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{h} \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{lam}-\mathrm{ma}$, on that side, 6 : rev. 11.
ahâru ( $7 \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{N}_{1}$ ), to be behind. II. 1. uh-hu-ru, 2: 15.
âka, where? a-a-ka, 2: 8 .

[^13]akî $\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{1}\right)$ ，as，like．a－ki，4：rev．7．a－ki－e，2：rev． 11.
ekallu，palace．ekalli， 5 ：rev． 6.
ekurru，templc．ekurrâtepl．（E－K UR pl．）458： 4.
ilu（ $\mathbf{~ N ~ N ~}_{1}$ ），God．ilu，determ． $6: 4^{2} ; 8: 5^{2}, 7^{3} ; 458: 8^{2} ; 459: 4^{2}$ ．ili， $6:$ rev． 10.
alu，city．alu，determ．1：5，8，11，12，15，16，18，20，23，rev．5， $8,9,13,26$ ， $31 ; 2: 9,14$ ，rev． $1 ; 3: 7$ ，rev． $6 ; 7: 7 ; 11: 6 ; 458: 5 ; 463: 4,8 ; 464: 3,9,13$ ， rev．9．ali－šu，11：7．
ûlâ（׳ㄴ），perhaps．u－la，6：7．
a－li－e，where？11：rev． 3.

alâku（7） $\mathbf{N}^{2}$ ），to go．I．1．Pret．i－li－kan－a－ni，1：8，21．i－lik－an－ni， 2：rev．9．il－li－kam－ma，11：rev．4．al－lik－an－ni，464：rev．2．I． 1. Pres．i－lak，2：7，14．i－la－ku－u－ni，2：15．il－lak，3：rev．7．il－la－ka， 5：rev．4．al－lak，5：rev．6．I．2．i－ta－lak，1：13．i－tal－ku－u－ni，2： 11. it－tal－ku，11： 7 ．

umâ，now．u－ma－a，1：21；4：rev．7； $5:$ rev． 1 ．
a mêlu（אמל），officer，determ．1：7，rev．15，17，18，22，25，27， $30^{2} ; 2: 2,6$ ， $9,10,15 ; 3: 4,5^{2}, 6,13$ ，rev． $2 ; 4: 5,6,12$ ，rev． $9 ; 5: 5 ; 6: 14$ ，rev． 9,$11 ; 11$ ： rev． $7 ; 462: 5 ; 463: 6,7,10^{2} ; 464: 5,11,13$ ，rev． 4,$7 ; \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{me}-\mathrm{li}, 6: 8$ ．
am－me－ia－u，this，4：rev． 12.
umâmu（مמD），beast．u－ma－me，1：rev． 9 ．
ummânu，people．u－ma－ni－e，458：rev． 3 ．
emêku（ 2： 6.
a mâru（רかヘ ${ }^{1}$ ），to see．I．1．e－mu－ru－šu，11：5．
imêru（רロNs），animal，determ．1：9，11，16，19，24；3：9．
ina，in．ina，1：4，10，11，12，19，23，24，rev．6，13，15，17，18，28， $31 ; 2$ ： 12，14，rev． 1,$6 ; 3: 11$ ，rev． $6 ; 4: 5,9$ ，rev． $10 ; 5$ ： 4 ，rev． $6 ; 6: 7,9,10 ; 7: 7$ ； 11： $4,7,10$ ，rev． 4,$8 ; 458: 9 ; 459: 5 ; 463: 8 ; 464: 2,7,9,12$ ，rev． $1,8,10$. $\mathrm{i}-[\mathrm{na}], 1: 9$ ．
ana，to．a－na， $1: 1,3,8,13,20$ ，rev． $9 ; 2: 2 ; 3: 1,3,7 ; 4: 1,4$ ，rev． 13 ； $5: 1,3$ ，rev． $5 ; 6: 1,3,5$ ，rev． 1,$3 ; 7: 1,3 ; 8: 1,3,6,15,16,17$ ，rev． $9 ; 11: 6$ ； $458: 1,3,4,5,6,7,8 ; 459:[1], 3,4 ; 462:[1], 3 ; 463: 1,3,4 ; 464: 4,15$, rev． 3 ， 9，13．a－na－ka， $2: 3$ ．
an－ni－u，this， $2:$ rev． $11 ; 6:$ rev． 7 ；8：rev．4．an－ni－［u］，8：rev． 6.
anâku（ 7 （ $)$ ，I．a－na－ku，1：23；464：rev．1， 9.
an－nu－ri，just now， $5:$ rev． 2.
annûšim，just now．a－nu－šim，1，rev． 30 ；an－nu－šim，11：rev． 5 ． is－si－e－šu（Ni），with him， 464 ：rev． 8.
e pêšu（C゚ゆがs）to do，make．I．1．e－pu－šu，2：12，rev．2．e－pu－šu－ni， 2：rev．10．［e］－pu－šu，8：rev．6．u－pu－šu，464：11．I．2．e－tap－ša，2： rev． 10.
ișu（9゙Nı），tree．iṣu，determ． $1:$ rev． $6 ; 6: 9$ ，rev． 4,$14 ; 458: 9$ ，rev． 4 ； 459： 5.
aṣappu，probably some kind of horse．imêru a－ṣap－pu－šu，3：9；［a］－ ṣap－pa，8：rev． 1.
erêbu（בาが），to enter．I．1．e－ru－bu－u－ni，464：rev．10．I．2．e－tar－ bu－u－ni，8：rev． 5.
ardu，servant．ardu－ka， $1: 2 ; 3: 2 ; 4: 2 ; 5: 2 ; 6: 2 ; 7: 2 ; 8: 2$ ； $458: 2 ; 459: 2 ; 462: 2 ; 463: 2$.
uru（ $\mathbf{T V N}_{1}$ ），stall．u－ru－u，1：15， 16.
aširti（bît ali），sanctuary，2： 19.
ištu，from．ištu， $1: 5,7,18$ ，rev． $8 ; 4: 6,12$ ，rev． $4 ; 5: 9 ; 6:$ rev． 3 ； 7：12；462： 4.
itti $\left(\mathbb{N}_{1}\right)$ ，with．it－［ti］－šu，2：rev． 6 ．
atâ（円フN），now．a－ta－a，1：6；6：rev． 12.
etêku（ $\mathrm{PHN}_{4}$ ），to march．I．1．e－ti－ik，6！8．I．2．i－ta－ta－ka，4： rev． 14.
i－tu－uk－tu，1：rev． 19.
bîtu（Л’コ），house．bît，1：rev．27， 30.
 $31 ; 4: 7 ; 5: 6,9 ; 8:$ rev． 11,$13 ; 11: 1 ; 459: 6,8 ; 463: 5$ ．bêli（my lord， nom．） $4:$ rev． 8 ；462：6．be－li（ $m y$ lord，nom．） $6: 6,11$ ，rev．6．bêli－ia（ $m y$ lord，gen．） $1: 1,3$ ，rev． $31 ; 4: 1,4 ; 6: 1,3,5$ ，rev． $15 ; 458: 1,7,8$ ，rev． $5 ; 459$ ： $1,3,[4] ; 463: 3$ ．bêli－a（gen．）5：1，3；11：rev．5；462：［1］，3；464： 15. be－ili－ia（gen．） 3 ；［1］， $3 ; 8: 1,3,6,9,[11],[12] ; 463: 1$ ．be－ili－a， $1:$ rev． 10 and 11．amêlu bêl pihâti，prefect． $2: 9,10 ; 3: 6,13$ ．amêlu bêl pihâtêpl．，2： 15.
bi－rit，among，between，6：7， 15.
bid，as，when．bid，1：22．
bithallu，riding horse．bit－hal－li，S：19，rev． 2.
bat－te－bat－te－e－a，round about me， $464: 6$.
garâru（7ㄱ），to run．iṣu mu－gir－ri，chariot，6：rev． 14 ．
gušûru（7ゼュ），beam．（iṣu）gušûrê pl．，45S：9，rev． $4 ; 459$ ：［5］．
dabâbu（ユユา），to speati．I．1．Pres．i－da－bu－ub，2：13；id－da－ab， 7： 10 ．
dâgil－iṣṣ̂ri，bird inspector，augur．amêlu da－gil－iṣṣ̂rêpl．，4：6， 12.
dâku（7）7），to kill．I．1．i－du－u－ku，464： 12.
dalâbu（コクワ），to reckion（？）III．1．u－ša－ad－la－ab，8：rev． 10.
dul－1u，business，2：11，rev．2．dul－li，2：rev． 6.
a－dan－niš（ز〕7）very much，8： $4^{2}, 458:$ rev． 2.
duppu，tablet．duppu，2： 1 ．
abâlu（7コ），to bring．I．1．Pres．u－bal－u－ni－šu－nu，1：rev． 30 ． u－ba－la，2：rev．7．I．1．Pret．Iu－u－bi－lu－ni－šu，11：3．III．1．Impv． še－bi－la，464：rev． 12.
adu（ו）（ו），appoint．I．1．u－da，1：rev．4．tu－da，1：rev． 23.
 II．2．u－ta－ṣi－şi，1：rev．20；u－ta－ṣi 4：rev．2．ṣ̂tu，end．ṣa－at，8： 17.
a šâbu（コゼl），to dwell．I．1．Pres．u－šab，2：8， 14.
urkîu（77），later．ur－ki－ia－u，4：rev． 9 ．
zammêru，singer．amêlu zammêrêpl．1：rev．15，22， 30 ．
zêru（N゙ヶ7），seed，family．zi－ri－ka，8： 16 ．
hazânu，mayor of city．amêlu hazânu（bêl ali），464：5， 13 ．
balâku（קクד），to flee．I．2．ihb－tal－ka，464：rev． 3.

ṭêmu（ロN＋0），tidings．ṭe－mu，1：rev．11．ṭe－［e－mu］，464：7．
ṭâbu（コ）（ヘ），to be good．I．1．Perm．ṭâb，458：rev． 6.
iaši，I．ia－ši，464： 10.
ûmu（D），day．ûme（UD－ME）8：17．ûmu（UD－MU）8：rev． 4 ； 464：rev．8．ûmi（UD），8：rev． $15 ; 464: 2$.
išû（iヒセソ），to be．I．1．i－šu－u－ni，4：13．i－ši－i，4：rev．5；contracted with lâ to lâšû；la－šu，1：6，rev．20；la－a－šu， $11: 5 ; 1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}$ š－šu， $11: 8$ ．
imêruku－din，mule， $1: 9,11,16,19,24$ ．
kânu（ز〕コ），to be firm．II．1．lu－ki－in－nu，8：12．II．2．lu－uk－ta－ ti－ni，4：10．ki－e－ni，firm，8： 13.
kî（＇コ），when．ki－i，1：7，21，rev．5，24；2：rev．8；464：rev． 1.
ki，determ．2：rev． $8 ; 7: 6 ; 458: 3,6$ ．
k alû（Nンク），to delay，restrain，imprison．I．2．ak－ta－la，4：rev．11；ak－ tal－šu－u，2：rev． 9.
kîlu（ $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ 乌コ），prison，restraint．kil－la－šu，2：rev． 12.
kaliu，impedimenta．ka－li－ia，1：4．ka－li－ia－u，1：6，19，rev． 12. ka－li－e，1： 20.
kîma，like．ki－ma，6：13；464：rev． 12.
$\mathrm{ka}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ma}-\mathrm{ni}-\mathrm{u}$ ，continual，8：rev． 9 ．

kanâšu（ש゙ココ），to submit．I，1．Pres．i－ka－an－nu－šu，6：rev． 16.
karâbu（コフコ），to bless．lik－ru－bu，6：5；8：6；458：9；459：5．
kar－ka－te－e，mighty（？）2： 7.
karâru（7าコ），to be situated．I．1．Perm．ka－ri－ru－u－ni，1：rev． 7.
kiššatu（ぴツココ），totality．kišsat， $6:$ rev． $10^{2}$ ．
lâ（バク），not．la，1：rev．21；2：rev． 4,$7 ; 3:$ rev．8；4：rev． 5 ；6：rev．13， $16 ; 11$ ：rev． $4 ; 463: 12,13$ ．la－a， $11: 5 ; 459: 8$ ．
libbu（ココ），heart，midst．lib－bu， 458 ：rev．5．libbi， $1:$ rev．6，28；3： rev． $6 ; 11: 7 ; 463: 11 ; 464$ ：rev．8．lib－bi，11： 10.
lû（7），surely．lu $1: 3$ ，rev． $23 ; 2: 3$ ，rev． $7 ; 3: 3 ; 5: 3 ; 6: 3 ; 7: 3 ; 8$ ： $3 ; 458: 7 ; 459: 3$ ．lu－u，4：3；458：rev． $6 ; 462: 3 ; 463: 3$ ．lu－u．．．．．．．．． lu－u，both．．．．．and，6：rev． 10.
lîpu，descendant．li－pi－i－ka，8： 15 ．
mâ，saying．ma－a， $1: 6$ ，rev． $17,22,23 ; 2: 5,8,13,15$ ，rev． $4 ; 3:$ rev． 5 ； $4: 9,11 ; 5: 7$ ，rev． $5 ; 6: 7,12 ; 7: 11 ; 11: 2$ ，rev． $4 ; 459: 7 ; 462: 7 ; 463: 6$ ．
mâdu（ $7 \times 19$ ），much．ma－a－du， 458 ：rev． 4.
mâru（ $7 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ º），child，son．amêlu mârâpl．－ni，1：rev．17．amêlu mârânipl．－šu， 464 ：rev． 7.
nâru ME．3： 8.
mûšu（ש゙ׁ），night．mu－šu，8：rev． 6 ．
muhhi ，above，upon，with refercnce to．muhhi，1：4，rev．15，17；4：5； $5: 4 ; 6: 9 ; 11:$ rev． $8 ; 458: 9 ; 459: 5 ; 462: 4 ; 464: 7,12$ ，rev． 10 ．muh－ hii－šu，6：10．muh－hi－a， $11:$ rev． 4.
mala，as many as．ma－la， $1: 14 ; 3: 10$ ．（Both doubtful because of breaks．）
manû（ $\boldsymbol{\text { ココ2），to number．mi－i－ni，number，} 8 : \text { rev．} 9 . ~}$
mînu（with ša），according as．me－i－nu（ša），4： 11 ；mi－i－nu（ša）， 8：rev．13．memeui，at all．me－me－ni，4：rev． 3.
m aṣ̂̂（ $\boldsymbol{n} \mathfrak{s}$ ！？），to Ze wide，sufficient．III．1．Perm．šum－ṣa，1：rev． 28.
MAR，time？5：9．
mâtu，land．mâtu，determ．1：13，rev． $18 ; 2: 5,10$ ，rev． $8 ; 3:$ rev． 1 ； $7: 6 ; 11: 4 ; 458: 6 ; 462: 5 ; 464:$ rev． 3 ．mât－su， $6:$ rev． 10.
nâru（ $\mathbf{N N}_{2}$ g），river．nâru，determ． $3: 8$ ．
amèlu NA．464：rev． 4.
amêlunâgir ekalli，overseer of the palace， $1:$ rev．27．amêlu nâgir bîti， overseer of the house，2：2．
nadânu（97），to give．I．1．［li］d－din，4：15．［lid－di］－nu，8：18； ta－da－in，gift（？）1：rev． 10.
nazâzu（ify），to stand．III．1．u－ša－zi－zu－u－ni，1：17．lu－ša－zi－zu， 1：rev． 14.
nuk ，saying． $\mathrm{nu}-\mathrm{uk}, 11$ ：rev． 3 ．
nu－ka－al，6：rev． 2.
nakâru（7ココ），to be hostile．I．1．part．nak－ru－ti－šu－nu，6：rev． 13.
namâsu（ש゚リป），to set out，depart．II．1．u－nam－maš， $3:$ rev．8．II． 2. $u-t a-m e-s ̌ u, 3: 8 ; u-t a m-m e-s ̌ a, 5: r e v .3 ; u-t a-m i-s ̌ u-m a, 3: r e v .3$.
 11 ：rev． 2 ；na－ṣu－u－ni， 464 ：rev． 13.
naṣaru（7צู），to save．I．1．Pres．i－na－ṣur，1：rev．29．I．1．Pret． li－iṣ－ṣu－ru，8：9．I．1．Impv．uṣ－ṣu－ru，5：8．I．2．Pret．it－ta－aṣ－ru，5： 13．masṣartu，watch．maṣṣarti－šu，4：rev．13．ma－şar－tu－šu，5：8， 11．maṣṣarâtipl．，464：4．
nu－ti－in， 1 ：rev． 15.
s a hu û（مח円），to rebel．I．1．i－s a－ha，464： 10.
sabâru（ 7 （D），to turn．I．2．lu－u－sa－hi－ri，4： 14 ．
sis û，horse．sisêt．8：rev．8，10， 12.
p $\hat{u}$（9），mouth．pi－i，2：rev． 4 ．
iṣu pi－lu－ur－te， 1 ：rev． 6.
pânu（๒）（פ），before．pân $1:$ rev． $31 ; 4: 12$ ．pâni－ka，4：9．pa－ni－̌̌u， 3：11．pa－ni－šu－nu，4：rev．4．pâni－šu－nu，4：rev．10．pânâtu，before． pa－na－tu－šu－nu，1：9．pa－ni－tu，464：rev． 1.
pu－ri－di，6：7，12，13，rev． 5.
pâriṣu（צา（ワ），judge．amêlu pa－ri－ṣu－u－te，1：rev． 25.
$\mathrm{pu}-\mathrm{tu}$ ，side，entrance，3：7．pu－ut，3：rev． 1 ．
patû（ $\mathbf{N}_{3} ク$ פ），to open．I．1．ap－ta，1：rev．24；Perm．pa－tu－u－ni； 464： 14.
pabâru（7П⿹），to collect．II．2．up－ta－at－hu－ru，1：rev． 28.
 11．amêlu ṣ̂âêpl．－šu，3：rev．．．
şabâtu（Лコゞ），seizc，tuke．1．1．i－ṣa－bat， $1: 11$ ；i－şab－tu， $11: 11$ ； iṣ－ṣab－tu－ni－šu，464：rev．7．II．1．tu－ṣa－bit， 1 ：rev． $23 ; u-s ̣ a-b i t-$ u－ni， 1 ：rev． 29.
kibû（ $\mathbb{N}_{4}$ 〇），to speuk，commund．I．1．Pret．ik－bu－u－ni， 4 ：rev． 8 ；ni－ ik－bi， 6 ：rev．12．I．1．Pres．i－kab－［bi］，6：11；i－kab－bi，8：rev．11； $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bu} \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{ni}, 464: 16$ ． $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{bu}, c r y, 1:$ rev． 28.
$\mathrm{k} \hat{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{tu}$ ，hand． $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ti}, 5: 7,12$.
kanu（probably），side，border．ka－an－ni，1：rev．31．ka－ni，2： 10.
karâbu（コาค），to be near．I．1．Perm．ka－ra－bu，464： 10.
kitridu（フ7p），mighty one， $6:$ rev． 9 ．
amêlu rab－bi－LUL，music director， $463: 10$.
amêlu rab－SE－Š A，chief of the sacrificial festivity， $1:$ rev． 18.
amêlurab－šabrêpl．，chief of the magicians，3：5．
mardîtu（กา7），road．mar－di－tu，1：rev． 7 ．
narkabtu（ココ7），chariot．iṣu narkabt－e，6：9．iṣu narkabti，6： rev． 4.
rakâsu（Dコา）to lind．I．2．ar－ta－kas，1：10，25．ir－ta－kas，1： 12.
ša，which；has also the foree and meaning of．ša，which， $1: 4$, rev． $16 ; 2$ ： 4, rev． $3,4,10 ; 3: 12 ; 4: 6,7 ; 5: 6 ; 6: 6,9,14$ ，rev． $6 ; 8:$ rev． $7 ; 11: 1 ; 458$ ： $10 ; 459: 6 ; 462: 6 ; 463: 5,11 ; 464: 6,11,14,15$, rev． 9 ．ša，of， $1: 15,16$ ， rev． $18,26,27,30,31 ; 2: 9,10$ ，rev． $5 ; 3: 6,7,10$ ，rev． $1 ; 6:$ rev． 5,$15 ; 8: 8$ ， 10 ，rev． $10 ; 458$ ：rev． $5 ; 463: 10 ; 464: 2,8,13$ ，rev． 5.
š̂ $=h e . \quad$ šu－u， $3: 11 ; 6: 15$, rev． 2.
 a－sa－［al］，11：3．a－sa－al－šu，11：rev．2．i－sa－al－lu，464：7．
šad̂adu（フワビッ），to draw．I．1．liš－da－du，459： 7 ．
šakâlu（לゴヒ），to care for．I．2．as－si－kal，8：rev．8．la－as－kal，8： rev． 12.
šêpu，foot．šêpâ pl．，3： 4.
šakânu（9コロ゙），to establish．I．1．Perm．ši－kun，1：rev．11．amélu šakan－maṣsarti，commander of the watch，4：5，rev．9．
šalîmu（ロ゙ビ），to complete．II．1．tu－šal－lum－ni，1：rev．24．šulmu， pсасе．šul－mu， $1: 3 ; 2: 3 ; 3: 3 ; 4: 3 ; 5: 3 ; 6: 3 ; 7: 3 ; 8: 3 ; 458: 3$ ， $4,5,6,7 ; 459: 3 ; 462: 3 ; 463: 3,4 ; 464: 5,6$ ．šul－me， $5:$ rev． 5.
šum－ku－un（？），your name，464：rev． 11.
 a－si－me， 3 ：rev． 5 ．i－si－me， 464 ：rev． 5.
šumma，if．šum－ma，1：rev．22， $29 ; 8$ ：rev． 11.
amêlu ša－nu－te，second officer，3：5．
šattu（군），year．šaûtipl．（MU－AN－N Apl．）8： 11 ．
šapâlu（ウゾツ），to be low．šaplu，under．šapli（KI－TA），1：10，12， 24．šapli－šu（KI－TA），1：19．šap－li，5：7．šap－la，5： $12 ; 6: 9$ ，rev． 4， 14.
šapâru（רפゼ），to send．I．1．iš－pur－an－ni， $1: 5$ ，rev． $16 ; 4: 8 ; 5: 6$ ， $10 ; 6: 6 ; 458: 10 ; 459: 6 ; 462: 6 ; 463: 5$ ．taš－pur－an－ni， $2: 4$ ，rev． 3．iš－pur－šu－u－ni，1：22；i－šap－ra，1：rev．22．a－šap－ra，463：9； i－šap－par－an－ni，8：rev． 14 ；liš－pa－r［u］，463；rev．2．Impv．šu－pur， 11：2；459：7．šup－ru，463：9．I．2．i－sa－ap－ru，464：rev．6；a－sa－par， 5：11；a－sa－ap－ra， 464 ：rev． 11.
šarru（77••），king．šarru，1：4，14，22，rev． $4,10,16,21,31 ; 2: 13 ; 3$ ： rev． $5 ; 4: 7$ ，rev． $7 ; 5: 6,9 ; 6: 6,11$ ，rev． $6 ; 8$ ：rev． 11,$13 ; 11: 1 ; 458: 10$ ； $459: 6,8 ; 462: 6 ; 463: 5$ ．šar，2：5．rev．8．šarri， $1: 1,3$, rev． $31 ; 2:$ rev． 5 ； $3: 1,3 ; 4: 1,4 ; 5: 1,3 ; 6: 1,3,5$ ，rev． 10,$15 ; 7: 1,3 ; 8: 1,3,6,8,10$ ，rev． $10 ; 11$ ：rev． 5 ； $458: 1,7,8$ ，rev． $5 ; 459: 1,3,4 ; 462:[1], 3 ; 463: 1,3$ ； 464：15，rev．5．šarrâni pl．，6：rev．13．šar－ru－u－tu，royalty，8： 14.
šu－u－tu，that，the aforesaid，11：9；464：8，rev．2，5．
teb û（ $\boldsymbol{N}_{4}$ ユク），enemy．tebêpl．，7：5．
mutîr puti，body guard．amêlumutîr pu－te，1：7；7：4；462：5．
ti－［ma］－a－li（תמว），yesterday， 8 ：rev． 7.

The following numerals occur in these letters，written in each case without any phonetic complement．

๑． $1: 9,11,19,24.4 .464:$ rev．7． $18[\mathrm{k} \mathrm{an}] .464: 2$. 23． $8:$ rev． 15.50. 463 ：6，7．3000． $3: 4$.

PROPER NAMES．

```
m U-ak-sa-tar, 464: rev. 6.
alu U-a-si, 2: 9, 14.
aiu U-e-si, 3: rev.6.
m Ab\hat{u}-ul-i-di, 462:4.
m A-bit-šar-uscur, 5: 2.
mâtu U-ka-a-a, 2:10;3:rev.1.
mâtu Akkad-a-a, 2:5.
mâtu Akkad (ki), 7: 6.
m Up-pi-te, 464:12.
alu A-ra-ak-di, 1:20,rev.9.
m alu Arba-ilu-a-a, 1:5,12.
```

```
alu Ur-ia-ku, 464:13.
alu Arrapha, 1: 11, rev. 26.
alu Ur-zu-h.i-na, 1:8,18,23, rev. 8,31.
alu Ar-zu-hi-na, 1: rev. 5.
amêlu \Lambda\check{s-da-a-a-ti, 6:rev. 11.}
m Išdi-barrâni, 1:7, 10.
m Aš-pa-ba-ra, 464:9.
E-šarra(ki), 458:3.
Ašur, 458: 8; 459:4.
mâtu Aššur(ki), 2: rev. S; 458:6.
alu Aššur (LIB ALI), 458:5.
m Ašur-Dûr-pa-ni-[a], 462:2.
m Ašur-ri-ṣu-a, 3:2.
m Ištar-šum-cr-eš, 6:2.
amêlu I-tu-'-a-a, 463:7.
```

ilu Bêl, 8: 7.
ilu Bêltu, 458: 8; 459: 4.
m ilu Bêltu-kab-din(?), 6: rev. 8 .
mâtu Ba-bi-ti, 1: rev. 18.
m Bab-ba-ni, 7: 4.
mâtu Bar-hal-ṣa, 11: 4.
mGil-ṣa-na, 2: 1.
alu Dûr-ta-li-ti, 1: 15, rev. 1.
alu Dûr-fatânâpl.-tc, $1:$ rev. 13.
[alu] D ûr-Šar-ukîn, 8: rev. 3.
alu Hal-ṣu, 463:4.
alu Ha-ri-pa, 464: 9.
m Tâbu-ṣil-Esarra, 458: 2; 459: 2.
mâtu Kal-da-a-a, 462: 5.
amêluKal-da-a-a, 5:5.
aluKâr-mšar-ukîn, 464:3, rev. 9.
${ }^{m}$ Lu-tu-u, 464: 8.

```
nâru ME, 3: 8.
mâtu Ma-s.a-mu, 1: 13.
alu Mu-șa-şir, 2: rev.1; 3:7.
ilu Marduk, 6:4; 8:5.
alu Mu-ti-an-ni, 11:6.
```

```
ilu Nabû, 6:4;8:5,7.
m ilu Nabû-er-eš, 5:4.
milu Nabû-lal-an-ni, 464: rev.4.
milu Nabû-šum-iddina, 8:2.
ilu Nergal, 8:7.
```

m Si-e-ti-ni, 3: 6, 10.
alu Sa-ba-ha-ni, 463: 8.
m Su-na-a, 3: 12 .
amêlu Kur-ra-a-a, 463: 6.
mRa-ma........i, 464: rev. 10.
m Šam-hu-ilu Ša-maš, 4: 2.
m Šamaš-cmur-an-ni, 463: 2.
m Šamaš-bêl-uşur, 1:2.
m Šar.........7: 2 .
alu Ta-ga-la-gi, 1: 16.

## VITA.

I, George Ricker Berry, was born in West Sumner, Maine, on the 15 th of October, 1865. I prepared for college at Hebron Academy. I received the degree of A.B. from Colby University in 1885. Part of the following year was spent in teaching. During the years $\mathbf{1 8 8 6} \mathbf{- 1 8 8 9}$, I studied at Newton Theological Institutimon, pursuing the usual Theological course, but giving special attention to the Semitic Languages under Professors O. S. Stearns and C. R. Brown. From 1892 to 1895 I was a Graduate student at The University of Chicago, devoting myself especially to Assyrian under Professor Robert Francis Harper. I have also parsued the study of the other Semitic Languages under President William R. Harper, Professor Emil G. Hirsch, and others.
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[^0]:    1 Vol. 3 contains K. 1619b and K. 1620b, (plate 16) ; vol. 4, K. 84, K. 13, and K. 647 (plate 52), K. 114, K. 31, and K. 79 (plate 53), K. 562 , K. $528, \mathrm{~K} .181$, and $48-7-20,15$ (plate 54 ). In the new edition, 1891, these plates are nos. 45,46 , and 47 . Vol. 5 has K. 186, K. 175, K. 618, K. 512 (plate 53 ). $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} .2 .2$, K. 613, K. 678, K. 537, K. 620 (plate 54).
    ${ }_{2}$ These are K. 1139 (p. 108 sq.), K. 312 (p. 189 sq.), part of K. 599 (p. 196 sq.), K. 13 (p. 197 sqq.), K. 10 (p. 248 sq.), K. 359 (p. 252 sqq.), K. 562 (p. 296 sqq.).

    3 Sm. 1034.
    4 These are K. 181, K. 528, K. 79 and K. $14 .{ }^{*}$
    ${ }^{5}$ Viz. $80-7$-19, 25 and $80-7-19,26$.
    6 K. 31.
    7 These are K. 538 , K. 513, K. 562, K. 604 , K. $476,81-2-4,57$, K. 95 , K. 486, K. 509, K. 312, K. 359, K. 524 , S. 1064, K. 824. K. 11, K. 549 , K. 183, K. 487 , K. 595 , K. 578 , K. 646, K. 550 , K. 1252 [a], K. 533 , K. 1249, S. 760, K. 96, K. 514, K. 679, K. 582, K. 686, K. 1229 and K. 1113, K. 669 and K. 1139.

    8 These are K. 482, K. 483, S. 1034, K. 82 , K. 83 , K. 691 , K. 21, K. 80 , K. 81, K. 89 , K. 478, K. 481 , K. 493, K. 498, K. 522, K. 113, K. 146, K. 174 , K. 479 , K. 492 , K. 502 , K. 504 , K. 506 , K. 507, K. 508 , K. 511, K. 596, K. 154 , K. $5 \%$, K. 572, K. $1122,80-7-19,17, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} .77$. Besides these the text without translation is given of S. 1046 and 82-7-4, 37, the last being a contract tablet.

[^1]:    9 K. 95 and 67-4-2, 1 .
    ${ }_{10}$ These are K. 647, К. 10, K. 823, Rm. 215, К. 828, К. 831, K. 915, 80-7-19, 19.
    11 These articles contain K. 486, K. 523, К. 478, К. 476, К. 512, К. 81, К. 526, К. 146, 81-2-4, 57.
     К. 601, К. 666, К. 583 , К. 492, K. 482, К. 167, К. 11, К. 691, К. 507, К. 669 , К. 479, К. 1113, К. 487, K. 549, К. 550, 80-7-19, 26, 80-7-19, 25, К. 525.

[^2]:    12 These are K. 11, K. 14, K. 21, K. 63b, K. 80, K. 83, K. 89, K. 112, K. 113, K. 117, K. 122, K. 125, К. 146, К. 167, К. 174, К. 175, К. 181, К. 183, К. 185, К. 186, К. 194, К. 466, К. 467, К. 468, К. 469, К. 472, K. 476 , К. 481 , К. 482, К. 483 , К. 485 , К. 487 , К. 488 , К. 490 , К. 491 , К. 492 , К. 494, К. 495 , К. 497, К. 499, К. 501, К. 503, К. 504 , К. 505, К. 507 , К. 511 , К. 512, К. 515, К. 518, К. 519, К. 520 , К. 522, К. 527 , К. 529, К. 530 , К. 532 , К. 537 , К. 538 , К. 539 , К. 540 , К. 541, K. 542, K, 546, K. 547 , К. 549 , К. 550 , К. 551 , К. 553 , К. 554 , К. 555 , К. 558 , К. $561, ~$ K. $565, ~$ К. 568, К. 569 , К. 572, K. 573 ,
     К. 601 , К. 602 , К. 604, К. 606, К. 609, К. 612 , К. 613 , К. 614 , К. 616, К. 617 , К. 618 , К. 619, К. 620, К. 623, К. 624, К. 625, К. 626, К. 627, К. 629 , К. 631, К. 636, К. 639 , К. 641, К. 642, К. 643, К. 647 ,
     K. 682 , К. 686, К. 687 , К. 690 , К. 691, К. 831 , К. 903 , К. 910 , К. 939 а, К. 970 , К. 979 , К. 981, К. 983 , К. 991 , К. 997 , К. 1000, К. 1013 , К. 1017 , К. 1019, К. 1022 , К. 1024 , К. 1025 , К. 1026 , К. 1032, К. 1033, K. 1037, K. 1039, K. 1040, К. 1041, K. 1047, K. 1048, K. 1049, К. 1050, K. 1052, К. 1053, К. 1057, К. 1058, K. 1060, К. 1062, K. 1067 , K. 1069, K. 1070 , K. 1080, K. 1082, K. 1087 , K. 1101 and K. 1221, K. 1113 and K. 1229, К. 1147 and K. 1947, K. 1151, К. 1168, К. 1170, К. 1187, К. 1189, К. 1195, К. 1197, К. 1199, К. 1200 , К. 1204, К. 1205 , К. 1209, К. 1234, К. 1235 , К. 1239, K. 1242, К. 1243 , К. 1267, К. 1270, К. 1222 , К. 1274, К. 1396, К. 1410, К. 1418, К. 1428, К. 1461, К. 1540, К. 1896, К. 1907, К. 2,909, К. 4281, К. 4304, K. 4703 , K. 4704 , K. 4770 , K. 4780 , K. 5444 b, К. 5458 , K. 5464, K. 5465, K. 5466, K. 5509, K. 5531, K. 7426 , К. 7434, K. 7493.
    ${ }^{13}$ K. 828 and K. 84.
    ${ }_{14}$ These are K. 1106, K. 1355, K. 5464, K. 2701 a, K. 233, K. 1067, К. 1080, K. 176, K. 5455[a], K. 112, K. 1037, K. 2889, К. 125, К. 1107, К. 1621b, К. 1118, К. 1374, К. 1459, К. 1541, К. 1542, К. 1904, K. 1610, K. 17, К. 168, К. 1550 , К. 1580, К. 1287, К. 97 , К. 1199 , К. 4287 , К. 4303 , К. 1174, К. 1247 , К. 94, К. 1196, K. 1066, K. 1238, K. 1210, K. 4682, К. 4724 , K. 1197 [1187], К. 1062, К. 1202, К. 1201, К. 1146, K. 1247, К. 1366 , К. 63 b, K. 844 , К. 1239 , К. 4757 , К. 1274 , К. 4785 , К. 5461 , К. 5333 b, K. 87 , К. 1164, К. 5457 , K. 2645, K. 4779, K. 4670 , K. 1263 , K. 1250 , K. 830,1895, K. 1176, K. 1271 , К. 1265, К. 894, К. 1335 , K. 1077 , К. 896 , K. 1269 , К. 1065, К. 4776 , К. 5473, K. 4775 , К. 5483, К. 1880, К. 1881, К. 4787, К. 4793, K. 5594, K. 5585 , K. 5550 and K. $5641, ~ K . ~ 5500 . ~$

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For mụh-hi, by itself, cf. K. 502:11, K. 981 : rev. 6, LK. 34; K. 492:5, LK. 3; Sm. 1034:7, BAS., І., 2, p. 614; K. 1095: 4, LK. 159; K. 505:6, LK. 166; K. 575 : rev. 10, LK. 177; K. 1058: 4, LK. 182; K. 1000:5, LK. 188; K. 222: rev. 21, LK. 222; K. 1024: rev. 7, LK. 28; K. 1204, rev. 4, LK. 29 ; K. 174 : rev. 9, LK. 53 ; K. 687:13, LK. 57 . For muh-hi-ia, cf. K. $507: 12, L K$. 88; K. $526: 10$, K. 498:6, K. $503: 5, L K .125$; K. 686:6, 8, LK. 173 ; K. 66: : 15, LK. 211 (a peculiar
     K. $530: 10,15, L K .158$. For muḥ-ḥi-šu-nu, cf. K. $582: 20, L K .167$; K. 679: rev. 10, LK. 212.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ For a similar form cf. 1 u-šar-bi-ia-u, K. 575: 7, LK. 1 \% , the usual form of which is 1 u-šar-bi-u, K. 510:12, LK. 113; K. 538:13, LK. 114, etc.
    ${ }^{\text {s Cf. K. 490:7, }}$ LK. 18, ma-a-na = ma-a a-na.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}}, 2,4$ : rev. 5.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. 1a-aš-šu, TP. 7:25.
    ${ }^{6}$ The most frequent writing is 1 a-a $-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{u}$; K. 183: rev. 17, LK. 2; K. 186: rev. 17, LE. 222; K. $522: 13, L K .31$; K. $657: 9, L K .102$; K. 903 : rev. $4, L K .124$; K. $491: 9, L K .122$, etc. Also la-a-aš-šu, $R^{m} .2,11: 8$; 1a-a-šu, $R^{m} .2,11: 5$; for $1 a-s ̌ u$, cf. also rev. 20 below.
    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. BAS., I. 1. p. 203.
    ${ }^{8}$ BAS., I. 1. pp. 206-7.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ For a similar expressiou，cf．šêpu an a seêpl，K．14，rev．13，LK． 42.
    ${ }^{10}$ The passages known to me are these，ka－ri－ru－u－ni，K． 5466 ：rev．8，LK． 99 ；karru Ep．Y，788；ka－ra－ri，K． $494: 5, L K .19$ ；Sm．1034：12；li－ik－ru－ur，Sm．1034：18；li－ik－ru－ra， K． $494: 12, L K .19$ ；ik－ta－ra－ar，K．122：rev．13，LE． 43 ；ak－ta－ra－ra，K．655：rev．5， LK． 132.
    ${ }^{11}$ Cf．The Permansive forms given in Bezold，Oriental Diplomacy p．XXIX，thus，şabat， siḅir，sulmat，and slmilar forms．Cf．also ZA．，V．pp．13－21．This form is parallel to sihir as qatul to qatil，cf．DG．， 89 and also ZA．，V．p．9，note 3．Another unusual form of permansive in the letters which may be cited is $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ra-bu}, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} .2,464: 10$ ，like sabat．Cf． also，outside of the letters， $\mathbf{n i}-\mathrm{bu}-\mathrm{u}$ ，TP． $1: 35$ ，ki－bir，etc．
    ${ }^{12}$ Cf．（amêlu）mâru，K．617：15，LK． 208 （in contrast with 1.11 ，where amêlu is not found）． Also amêlu ardu，K．122：6，LK．43；K．939a：6，LK．46；K．604：13，LK．44；K．618：14，LK．9； （amêlu）ardâni，K．596：7，18，20，22，26，rev．3，12，19，LK．190：K．617：rev．14，LK．208：K．679： rev． $6, L K .212$ ；K． 10 かi2：rev．7，LK．216；K． 507 ：rev．21，LK． 88 ．Cf．also the plural sign with a mêiu pl．，amêlu ěâmûti K．5466：rev．12，LK．99．All these uses have their parallels in the Tel el－Amarna tablets，cf．Bezold，Oriental Diplomacy，p．XVI．

[^6]:    ${ }^{13}$ Cf. $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} .2,4$ : rev. 1-5, and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} .2,11: 3-5$, especially 5.
    ${ }^{14}$ Distinguish this word from (amelu) par-ri-șu, in K. 617: 17, LK. 208.
    ${ }^{15}$ Many roots are found which have a different ultimate vowel in the letters from that which had been known elsewhere. Thls occurs chiefly in the I., 1. Preterite. Some cases occur in the historical inscriptions, and have usually been classed as irregularities. Some of these occur so frequently, in the same form in the letters that they can not be oonsidered simply mistakes, but show a current, although it may be colloquial, form. Together with this, it is true, there is much variation in vowels in the letters which may be ascribed simply to carelessness and inaccuracy. Some examples of verbal forms found with an ultimate vowel in the letters different from the usual one elsewhere are the following: is-ka-nu-u-ni, K. 14: rev. $3, L K .42$, I., 1. pret. for iškununi; i-ša-ku-nu, K. 14: rev. 14, LK. 42, I., 1. Pret. or Pres., either for iskunu, or íákanu; cf. also, for the Pret. iš-ka-nu-ni, Ašurn., 2:83 (where Winckler writes "sic!"), and ni-iš-kan, Bezold, Orient. Dipl., p. 112; e-pa-百i, K. 691: rev. 5, LK. $45, \mathrm{I} ., 1$, Inf. and e-pa-aš-u-ni, K. 691 : rev. 8, LK. 45, I., 1. Pret. or Pres. instead of the usual epêsi, and epušuni or eppušuni. Cf. also, for this infinitive epaše, K. 578: 9, Smith, A8urb. III, p. 36 and for the Pret. or Pres. e-pa-̌u, Ašurn. Mon., 1. 55, e-pa-su-ni, K. 657: rev. 9, LK. 102; cf. also, e-pa-as, K. 686:12, LK. 173 ; K. $577: 18, L K .203$; K. 657 : rev. 6, LK. 102, and ip-pa-aš, K. 617: rev. 22, LK. 208. Instead of erub. I., 1. Pret. we have e-ra-ab, K. 113: rev. $2, L K .183$; e-ra-bu-u-n u, K. $5488: 4, L K$. 129. Instead of ispur I., 1, Pret., we have liš-pa-ru-u-ni, K. 1013: rev. 16. LK. 170, iš-pa-ru-niš-su, K. $530: 21, L K .158$. It is notice. able that the examples given show a predominance of $a$ instead of the usual $u$. For a different vocalization, in other places, in verbal forms of. the following: instead of idabub, or idibub, I., 1. Pres., we have frequently idubub; cf. 1-du-bu-bu, K. 6:25: rev. 8, LK. 131; id-du-bu-ub, K. $530: 14, L K .158$; ad-du-bu-ub, K. $617: 13, L K .208$; forittadin, I., 2 . ittidin, cf. in various forms, K. 112: rev. 2, LK. 223; K. 582: rev. 6, LK. 167; K. 619:12, LK. 174; K. 541 : rev. 3, LK. 207, etc. Many other examples of both these classes could be given. In connection with them, cf. Bezold, Orient. Dipl. p. XXXVI.

[^7]:    1 Cf. K. 1396, LK. 185 ; K. 831, LK. 214 ; K. 1239, LK. 219.
    ${ }_{2}$ Die Thontafelsammlungen des British Museum, p. 17.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. DG., p. 131, 855,1 b).
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. DG., 881, b).
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. U-a-a-si; K. 5464 : 27 and probably rev. $4, L K .198$. U-e-si, K. 5464 : rev. 2. Cf. also PSBA., Nov. $5,1895,234$. K. 5464 presents an interesting parallel with the present letter on sev. eral other points.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Or. Dipl. XVI. \& 7. (c).
    2 Besides the forms given in the glossary to these letters, cf. u-na-mas.u-ni K. 1170:10, 11, LK. 147; and u-ta-me-si, K. 621, 14 (unpublished); cf, also, BOD., p. 101.
    s Cf. BAS., I., 1, p. 203-4. Here S. A. Smith had previously read u-ta-1ak-u-ni, A8syrian Letters, part III., p. 23.

    4 Cf. BAS., I., 1, p. 204-5.

[^9]:    1 Cf. PSBA, Nov. 5, 1895, 228 sq.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Or. Dipl. p. XXXVII, \& 29.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Or. Dipl. p. XX and 76.
    4 Cf. masc. pl. am-mu-te, or am-mu-ti, K. 112:11, LK. 223; K. 1013: rev. 9, LK. 170; K. $472: 12, L K .17 ;$ K. $490:$ rev. $7, L K .18$. Fem. pl. am-ma-te, or am-ma-ti, K. 662:13, LK. 211, and other examples.
    ${ }_{5}$ For passages in which the same expression occurs cf. K. 653: rev. $10, L K .154$, and K. 662:15, LK. 211.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf．K． $656: 11, L K .92 ;$ K．175：11，LK．221；K． $472: 7, L K .17 . \quad$ Cf．also，K．1170：9，LK．147， where we have a－nu－su，and the various forms in the Tel el－Amarna tablets：cf．Bezold，Or． Dipl．，pp．xl．and 76.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf．a－na šul－me ša šarri at－tal－ka，K．532：rev． $13,14, L K .109$ ；a－na šul－meina muḥi sar mâtu Akkad－a－a it－tal－ku，K．181：rev．18－19，LK．197；a－na šul－me ina muḥ－ḥi－šu－ma it－ta－la－ak，K．181：rev．21－22，LK． 197.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf．K．1396：4，LK．185；K．112：6，LK． 223.
    4 HWB．，p． 26.
    
    ${ }^{6}$ Kosmologie，p． 414 sqq．
    7 Hebraica，X． 1 and 2，p． 107.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ For nummur cf．the similar phrase in K． 60 1：rev．12，LK． 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf．K．627：9－11，LK． 115 and K．499：9－10，LK． 119.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf．K．1113：7 sqq．and note of Del．，BAS．II．1．，pp．45－6．
    4 For S．A．Smith，cf．Die Keilschrifttexte Asurbanipals，part II．，p．53．For Delitzsch，BAS．， II．，1，p． 81 ．
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf．K．125：9，11，19，LK．196；K．181，rev．28，LK．197；K．5464：rev．19，LK．198；K．186：4， LK．222：K．594：rev．9，LK． 90 ；K．686：7，LK．173；K．582：8，LK．167；K．619：rev．13，LK．174； K． 1461 ：15，LK． 120 ；K．594：rev．9，LK． 90.
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